

Torsion bounds for elliptic curves and Drinfeld modules

Florian Breuer (fbreuer@sun.ac.za)
Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, South Africa

October 17, 2008

Abstract

We derive asymptotically optimal upper bounds on the number of L -rational torsion points on a given elliptic curve or Drinfeld module defined over a finitely generated field K , as a function of the degree $[L : K]$. Our main tool is the adelic openness of the image of Galois representations attached to elliptic curves and Drinfeld modules, due to Serre and Pink-Rütsche, respectively. Our approach is to prove a general result for certain Galois modules, which applies simultaneously to elliptic curves and to Drinfeld modules.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11G05, 11G09

Keywords: Elliptic curves, Drinfeld modules, torsion points, Galois representations

1 Statement of main result

Let A be a Dedekind domain whose fraction field k is a global field, and let M be an A -module. For a non-zero ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$ we denote by

$$M[\mathfrak{a}] := \{x \in M \mid a \cdot x = 0, \forall a \in \mathfrak{a}\}$$

the $\mathfrak{a}$ -torsion submodule of M , by $M[\mathfrak{a}^\infty] := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} M[\mathfrak{a}^n]$ the $\mathfrak{a}$ -power torsion submodule, and by $M_{\text{tor}} = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{a} \subset A} M[\mathfrak{a}]$ the full torsion submodule.

Let K be a finitely generated field, and denote by K^{sep} the separable closure of K in an algebraic closure $\bar{K}$. Let $G_K = \text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$ act on M . For a submodule $H \subset M$ and a finite extension L/K we denote by $H(L)$ the subset of H fixed by $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/L)$. The cardinality of a finite set S is denoted $|S|$.

The goal of this article is to prove the following.

Theorem 1.1 *Suppose we are in one of the following two situations:*

- (a) $A = \mathbb{Z}$, K is a finitely generated field of characteristic 0 and M is an elliptic curve over K . Set $\gamma = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\text{End}_{\bar{K}}(M))/2$.
- (b) k is a global function field and A is the ring of elements of k regular outside a fixed place ∞ of k . M is a rank r Drinfeld A -module in generic characteristic over the finitely generated field K , and set $\gamma = \text{rank}_A(\text{End}_{\bar{K}}(M))/r$.

Then we have

- (I) Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ be a non-zero prime ideal. Then there exists a constant C depending on M, K and $\mathfrak{p}$ such that, for any finite extension L/K ,

$$|M[\mathfrak{p}^\infty](L)| \leq C[L : K]^\gamma. \quad (1)$$

(II) There exists a constant C depending on M and K such that, for any finite extension L/K ,

$$|M_{\text{tor}}(L)| \leq C([L : K] \log \log [L : K])^\gamma. \quad (2)$$

Moreover, these bounds are asymptotically optimal in the sense that there exist towers of fields achieving these bounds for suitable values of C .

2 Galois modules

Continuing with the notation from the previous section, we call M a (G_K, A) -module of rank r if the action of G_K commutes with the action of A , and $M[\mathfrak{a}] \cong (A/\mathfrak{a})^r$ as A -modules for every non-zero ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$.

Then for every non-zero ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$ the action of G_K induces a Galois representation

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{a}} : G_K \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(M[\mathfrak{a}]) \cong \text{GL}_r(A/\mathfrak{a}),$$

once we have chosen a basis for $M[\mathfrak{a}]$. We denote the index of the image by

$$I(\mathfrak{a}) := (\text{GL}_r(A/\mathfrak{a}) : \rho_{\mathfrak{a}}(G_K)).$$

Our main tool is

Theorem 2.1 *Let M be a (G_K, A) -module of rank r .*

(I) *Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ be a non-zero prime ideal. Suppose that there exists a constant $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$, depending on M , K and $\mathfrak{p}$, such that $I(\mathfrak{p}^n) \leq C_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a constant C depending on $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$, r and K such that, for every finite extension L/K ,*

$$|M[\mathfrak{p}^\infty](L)| \leq C[L : K]^{1/r}.$$

(II) *Suppose that there exists a constant C_0 , depending on M and K , such that $I(\mathfrak{a}) \leq C_0$ for all non-zero $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$. Then there exists a constant C depending on C_0 , r and K such that, for every finite extension L/K ,*

$$|M_{\text{tor}}(L)| \leq C([L : K] \log \log [L : K])^{1/r}.$$

Moreover, these bounds are asymptotically optimal in the sense that there exist towers of fields achieving these bounds for suitable values of C .

2.1 Elementary Lemmas

We collect the following elementary results, which we will need in the proof of Theorem 2.1. For a non-zero ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$ we write $|\mathfrak{a}| := |A/\mathfrak{a}|$. We define the function

$$\theta(\mathfrak{a}) := \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{a}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{p}|}\right)^{-1},$$

where the product ranges over all prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{a}$.

Lemma 2.2 *There exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$, depending on A , such that $\theta(\mathfrak{a}) \leq C_1 \log \log |\mathfrak{a}|$ for all non-zero $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$. Moreover, if $\mathfrak{a}_n := \prod_{|\mathfrak{p}| \leq n} \mathfrak{p}$, then $\theta(\mathfrak{a}_n) \geq C_2 \log \log |\mathfrak{a}_n|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. It is clear that $\theta(\mathfrak{a})$ achieves its fastest growth (relative to $|\mathfrak{a}|$) for $\mathfrak{a}_n = \prod_{|\mathfrak{p}| \leq n} \mathfrak{p}$.

We start with the following version of Mertens' Theorem [16, Theorems 2 and 3]:

$$\theta(\mathfrak{a}_n) = \prod_{|\mathfrak{p}| \leq n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{p}|}\right)^{-1} = C_1 \log n + O(1),$$

for an explicit constant $C_1 > 0$. On the other hand, we have,

$$\log |\mathfrak{a}_n| = \sum_{|\mathfrak{p}| \leq n} \log |\mathfrak{p}| = n + o(1).$$

When k is a number field, this is [16, Theorem 2.2]. When k is a function field over the finite field of q elements, this follows readily from the well-known estimate

$$|\{\mathfrak{p} \text{ prime} \mid |\mathfrak{p}| = q^m\}| = \frac{q^m}{m} + O\left(\frac{q^{m/2}}{m}\right).$$

□

Lemma 2.3 *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$ be a non-zero ideal. Then*

$$|\mathrm{GL}_r(A/\mathfrak{a})| = |\mathfrak{a}|^{r^2} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{a}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{p}|}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{p}|^2}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{p}|^r}\right).$$

Proof. Since $|\mathrm{GL}_r(A/\mathfrak{a})|$ is multiplicative in $\mathfrak{a}$, it suffices to prove the result for $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}^e$, where $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ is prime.

It is well-known that $|\mathrm{GL}_r(A/\mathfrak{p})| = (|\mathfrak{p}|^r - 1)(|\mathfrak{p}|^r - |\mathfrak{p}|) \cdots (|\mathfrak{p}|^r - |\mathfrak{p}|^{r-1})$, and the general result follows from the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow 1 + M_r(\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}^e) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_r(A/\mathfrak{p}^e) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_r(A/\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow 1,$$

where M_r denotes the additive group of $r \times r$ matrices. □

Lemma 2.4 *Let K_i/K and L_i/K_i be finite extensions inside $\bar{K}$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. We denote by $\prod_{i=1}^r K_i$ the compositum of the fields $K_1, \dots, K_r$ inside $\bar{K}$, and similarly for $\prod_{i=1}^r L_i$. Then*

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^r [K_i : K]}{[\prod_{i=1}^r K_i : K]} \leq \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r [L_i : K_i]}{[\prod_{i=1}^r L_i : K]}.$$

Proof. Elementary. □

2.2 Fields of definition

Let $H \subset M[\mathfrak{a}]$ be a subset, define

$$\text{Fix}_{\text{Aut}(M[\mathfrak{a}])}(H) := \{\sigma \in \text{Aut}(M[\mathfrak{a}]) \mid \sigma(h) = h, \forall h \in H\},$$

and denote by $K(H)$ the field generated by H over K , i.e. $K(H)$ is the fixed field of $\rho_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}(\text{Fix}_{\text{Aut}(M[\mathfrak{a}])}(H))$. When $H = \{x\}$ we write $K(H) = K(x)$. Then $\rho_{\mathfrak{a}}$ induces an isomorphism:

Lemma 2.5

$$\text{Gal}(K(H)/K) \cong \frac{\rho_{\mathfrak{a}}(G_K)}{\rho_{\mathfrak{a}}(G_K) \cap \text{Fix}_{\text{Aut}(M[\mathfrak{a}])}(H)}.$$

□

Let $x \in M_{\text{tor}}$. Then we say that x has order $\mathfrak{a}$, where $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$ is a non-zero ideal, if $x \in M[\mathfrak{a}]$ but $x \notin M[\mathfrak{b}]$ for any ideal $\mathfrak{b} \supsetneq \mathfrak{a}$. We also denote by $\zeta_A(s) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} (1 - |\mathfrak{p}|^{-s})^{-1}$ the zeta-function of A .

Proposition 2.6 *Let $x \in M_{\text{tor}}$ be a point of order $\mathfrak{a}$. Then*

$$[K(x) : K] = \frac{1}{C} |\mathfrak{a}|^r \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{a}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{p}|^r}\right)$$

where $1 \leq C \leq I(\mathfrak{a})$.

Proof. Choose a basis for $M[\mathfrak{a}]$ such that x is the first basis element. This choice determines the isomorphism $\text{Aut}(M[\mathfrak{a}]) \cong \text{GL}_r(A/\mathfrak{a})$. The stabilizer of x in $\text{GL}_r(A/\mathfrak{a})$ is of the form

$$\text{Fix}_{\text{GL}_r(A/\mathfrak{a})}(x) = \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} 1 & * & \cdots & * \\ \hline 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & & \\ 0 & & & \text{GL}_{r-1}(A/\mathfrak{a}) \end{array} \right)$$

where the starred entries of the first row are arbitrary elements of $A/\mathfrak{a}$ and the bottom right $(r-1) \times (r-1)$ block is $\text{GL}_{r-1}(A/\mathfrak{a})$. It follows from Lemma 2.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Fix}_{\text{GL}_r(A/\mathfrak{a})}(x)| &= |\mathfrak{a}|^{r-1} |\text{GL}_{r-1}(A/\mathfrak{a})| \\ &= |\mathfrak{a}|^{r(r-1)} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{a}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{p}|}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{p}|^2}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{p}|^{r-1}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.5 follows that

$$\begin{aligned} [K(x) : K] &= \frac{1}{C} \frac{|\text{GL}_r(A/\mathfrak{a})|}{|\text{Fix}_{\text{GL}_r(A/\mathfrak{a})}(x)|} \\ &= \frac{1}{C} |\mathfrak{a}|^r \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{a}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{p}|^r}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq C \leq I(\mathfrak{a})$. □

Proposition 2.7 *Suppose $r \geq 2$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending on $I(\mathfrak{a})$ and K , such that the following holds. Let $x_1, \dots, x_r \in M[\mathfrak{a}]$ be a basis for $M[\mathfrak{a}]$. Then*

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^r [K(x_i) : K]}{[K(M[\mathfrak{a}]) : K]} \leq C \theta(\mathfrak{a}).$$

Of course, $[K(x_1) : K] = [K(M[\mathfrak{a}]) : K]$ if $r = 1$.

Proof. From Lemma 2.5 we obtain

$$[K(M[\mathfrak{a}]) : K] = \frac{1}{I(\mathfrak{a})} |\mathrm{GL}_r(A/\mathfrak{a})|.$$

Now Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 2.6 give

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r [K(x_i) : K]}{[K(M[\mathfrak{a}]) : K]} &\leq I(\mathfrak{a}) \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{a}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{p}|^r}\right)^{r-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{p}|}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{p}|^2}\right)^{-1} \cdots \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{p}|^{r-1}}\right)^{-1} \\ &\leq I(\mathfrak{a}) \zeta_A(2) \zeta_A(3) \cdots \zeta_A(r-1) \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{a}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{p}|}\right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

□

The intuition is that the fields generated by linearly independent torsion points have minimal intersection. Explicitly,

Corollary 2.8 *There exists a constant $C > 0$ depending on $I(\mathfrak{a})$ and K , such that the following holds. Let $x_1, x_2 \in M[\mathfrak{a}]$ be points of order $\mathfrak{a}$ for which $\langle x_1 \rangle \cap \langle x_2 \rangle = \{0\}$. Then*

$$[K(x_1) \cap K(x_2) : K] \leq C \theta(\mathfrak{a}).$$

□

2.3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $H = M_{\mathrm{tor}}(L)$, which is finite since we assume that the indices $I(\mathfrak{a})$ are bounded. Let $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$ be the minimal ideal for which $H \subset M[\mathfrak{a}]$. One can choose a basis $x_1, \dots, x_r$ of $M[\mathfrak{a}] \cong (A/\mathfrak{a})^r$ such that $H = \langle y_1, \dots, y_r \rangle$, with $y_i \in \langle x_i \rangle$, and y_i is of order $\mathfrak{a}_i$, for each $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then $K(H)$ is the compositum of the $K(y_i)$'s in L .

From Lemma 2.4, Proposition 2.7 and Lemma 2.2 we obtain

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^r [K(y_i) : K]}{[K(H) : K]} \leq \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r [K(x_i) : K]}{[K(M[\mathfrak{a}]) : K]} \leq C_1 \theta(\mathfrak{a}) \leq C_2 \log \log |\mathfrak{a}|,$$

for some constant C_2 independent of H . From Proposition 2.6 now follows that

$$\begin{aligned} [K(H) : K] &\geq \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r [K(y_i) : K]}{C_2 \log \log |\mathfrak{a}|} && (\text{or } [K(y_1) : K] \text{ if } r = 1) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{I(\mathfrak{a})^r \zeta_A(r)^r} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r |\mathfrak{a}_i|^r}{C_2 \log \log |\mathfrak{a}|} && (\text{or } \frac{1}{I(\mathfrak{a})} \frac{|\mathfrak{a}_1|}{C_2 \log \log |\mathfrak{a}|} \text{ if } r = 1) \\ &\geq C_2 \frac{|H|^r}{\log \log |H|}, \end{aligned}$$

where C_2 is independent of H , by the assumption on $I(\mathfrak{a})$.

It follows that $|H| \leq C_3([K(H) : K] \log \log [K(H) : K])^{1/r}$, which proves part (II).

If $H = M[\mathfrak{p}^\infty](L)$, then in the above argument we find that $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}^n$ for some n , and $\theta(\mathfrak{p}^n) = (1 - |\mathfrak{p}|^{-1})^{-1}$ only depends on $\mathfrak{p}$, so the log log-term falls away. Part (I) follows.

Lastly, we show that the bounds are sharp. In case (II), let $\mathfrak{a}_n := \prod_{|\mathfrak{p}| \leq n} \mathfrak{p}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as in Lemma 2.2. Now set $L_n := K(M[\mathfrak{a}_n])$. By Lemmas 2.5 and 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} [L_n : K] &= \frac{1}{I(\mathfrak{a}_n)} |\mathrm{GL}_r(A/\mathfrak{a}_n)| = \frac{1}{I(\mathfrak{a}_n)} |\mathfrak{a}_n|^{r^2} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{a}_n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{p}|}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{p}|^2}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{p}|^r}\right). \\ &\leq \frac{|\mathfrak{a}_n|^{r^2}}{\theta(\mathfrak{a}_n)} \leq C_1 \frac{|\mathfrak{a}_n|^{r^2}}{\log \log (|\mathfrak{a}_n|)}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$|M_{\mathrm{tor}}(L_n)| \geq |M[\mathfrak{a}_n]| = |\mathfrak{a}_n|^r \geq C_2([L_n : K] \log \log [L_n : K])^{1/r}.$$

Case (I) is similar: We let $\mathfrak{a}_n := \mathfrak{p}^n$ and $L_n := K(M[\mathfrak{p}^n])$. This time $\theta(\mathfrak{p}^n)$ is constant and we find

$$|M[\mathfrak{p}^\infty](L_n)| \geq |M[\mathfrak{p}^n]| = |\mathfrak{p}^n|^r \geq C_2[L_n : K]^{1/r}.$$

□

3 Proof of the main result

3.1 Drinfeld modules

Suppose that k is a global function field, and fix a place ∞ of k . Let A be the ring of elements of k regular away from ∞ , and let φ be a Drinfeld A -module of rank r in generic characteristic defined over the finitely generated field K . We denote by $\mathrm{End}_L(\varphi)$ the ring of endomorphisms of φ defined over a field L/K . See [3, Chapter 4] for basic facts about Drinfeld modules.

Proof of Theorem 1.1(b).

We first reduce to the case where $\mathrm{End}_{\bar{K}}(\varphi) = A$. Replacing K by a finite extension if necessary, we may assume that $\mathrm{End}_{\bar{K}}(\varphi) = \mathrm{End}_K(\varphi)$. Let $R = \mathrm{End}_K(\varphi)$, then since φ has generic characteristic, R is an order in a purely imaginary extension k'/k , i.e. k' has only one place above ∞ . Furthermore, $[k' : k]$ divides r . Denote by A' the integral closure of A in k' .

By [3, Prop 4.7.19] there exists a Drinfeld A -module ψ and an isogeny $P : \varphi \rightarrow \psi$, defined over K , such that $\mathrm{End}_K(\psi) = A'$. Now P induces a morphism $\varphi_{\mathrm{tor}}(L) \rightarrow \psi_{\mathrm{tor}}(L)$, and the dual isogeny $\hat{P}$ likewise induces a morphism $\psi_{\mathrm{tor}}(L) \rightarrow \varphi_{\mathrm{tor}}(L)$, of degree independent of L . Hence

$$c_1 |\psi_{\mathrm{tor}}(L)| \leq |\varphi_{\mathrm{tor}}(L)| \leq c_2 |\psi_{\mathrm{tor}}(L)|$$

for constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ independent of L .

Now ψ may be extended to a Drinfeld A' -module of rank $r' = r/[A' : A]$, which we denote by ψ' . We claim that $\psi_{\mathrm{tor}}(L) = \psi'_{\mathrm{tor}}(L)$. Let $c \in A'$, then $\psi'_c \in \mathrm{End}_K(\psi)$, and $\hat{\psi}'_c \circ \psi'_c = \psi_d$ for some $d \in A$, where $\hat{\psi}'_c$ denotes the dual of ψ'_c as an isogeny. Hence $\ker(\psi'_c) \subset \ker(\psi_d)$ and so $\psi'_{\mathrm{tor}}(L) \subset \psi_{\mathrm{tor}}(L)$. The other inclusion is obvious.

Thus it suffices to prove Theorem 1.1(b) with (φ, A, r, γ) replaced by $(\psi', A', r', 1/r')$. The result now follows from Theorem 2.1 together with the following important result of Pink and Rüttsche [13]:

Theorem 3.1 (Pink-Rütsche) *Let φ be a rank r Drinfeld A -module in generic characteristic, defined over the finitely generated field K . Suppose that $\text{End}_K(\varphi) = \text{End}_{\bar{K}}(\varphi) = A$. Then there exists a constant C_0 depending on φ and on K , such that the index $I(\mathfrak{a})$ of the image of the Galois representation on $\varphi[\mathfrak{a}] \cong (A/\mathfrak{a})^r$ is bounded by C_0 for all $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$.*

□

3.2 Elliptic curves

Let K be a finitely generated field of characteristic zero, and E/K an elliptic curve. For a field L/K we denote by $\text{End}_L(E)$ the ring of endomorphisms of E defined over L .

Proof of Theorem 1.1(a).

Suppose that E does not have complex multiplication. Then E is a rank 2 $(G_K, \mathbb{Z})$ -module, and the result follows from Theorem 2.1 with $A = \mathbb{Z}$ and $r = 2$ once we have established

Theorem 3.2 (Serre) *Suppose E/K is an elliptic curve without complex multiplication, defined over a finitely generated field K of characteristic zero. Then there exists a constant C_0 , depending on E and on K , such that the index $I(n)$ of the image of the Galois representation on $E[n] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$ is bounded by C_0 for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Let j denote the j -invariant of E and let $K_1 = \mathbb{Q}(j)$. Then by [20, §III, Prop 1.4] there exists an elliptic curve E'/K_1 with $j(E') = j$ which becomes isomorphic to E over a finite extension of K . It suffices to prove Theorem 3.2 with E replaced by E' .

The result holds for K_1 , in the sense that the cokernel of $\rho_n : \text{Gal}(K_1^{\text{sep}}/K_1) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ is bounded independently of n . Indeed, If K_1 is a number field then this is Serre's celebrated Open Image Theorem [18], whereas if j is transcendental then the result follows by an older result of Weber [8, p68].

Now let $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset K$ such that K_2/K_1 is purely transcendental and K/K_2 is finite. The result also holds for K_2 since $\text{Gal}(K_2^{\text{sep}}/K_2) \cong \text{Gal}(K_1^{\text{sep}}/K_1)$. As $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$ is a subgroup of index $[K : K_2]$ in $\text{Gal}(K_2^{\text{sep}}/K_2)$, it follows that the result also holds for K . □

Next, suppose that E has complex multiplication by an order in the quadratic imaginary field $k/\mathbb{Q}$. After replacing K by a finite extension and E by a K -isogenous elliptic curve if necessary, we may assume that $\text{End}_{\bar{K}}(E) = \text{End}_K(E) = A$ is the maximal order in k . Now E is a rank 1 (G_K, A) -module, and for any finite extension L/K the torsion points in $E(L)$ with respect to the A -module structure coincide with the usual torsion points. Again, the result follows from Theorem 2.1 with $r = 1$ together with the following consequence of CM theory. □

Theorem 3.3 (CM) *Suppose E/K is an elliptic curve defined over a finitely generated field K of characteristic zero. Suppose that $\text{End}_K(E) = \text{End}_{\bar{K}}(E) = A$ is the maximal order in a quadratic imaginary field k . Then there exists a constant C_0 , depending on E and on K , such that the index $I(\mathfrak{a})$ of the image of the Galois representation on $E[\mathfrak{a}] \cong A/\mathfrak{a}$ is bounded by C_0 for all $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$.*

Proof. As before, let j denote the j -invariant of E , and set $K_1 = \mathbb{Q}(j)$. Since E has complex multiplication, K_1 is a number field and the result holds for K_1 by [18, §4.5]. The result now extends to K as in the proof of Theorem 3.2. □

4 Discussion

When M is an elliptic curve with complex multiplication, then the lower bound in Theorem 1.1 improves the bound $|M_{\text{tor}}(L)| \geq C[L : K] \sqrt{\log \log [L : K]}$ (for suitable fields L) often encountered in the literature (e.g. [1, 6]).

4.1 Other applications of Galois modules

Applying Theorem 2.1 to the rank 1 $(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Z})$ -module $M = \mathbb{G}_m$, we get the well known result that the number of roots of unity in a number field $L/\mathbb{Q}$ is bounded by $C[L : \mathbb{Q}] \log \log [L : \mathbb{Q}]$, for an absolute constant $C > 0$.

One may also bound the orders of $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/L)$ -stable submodules (equivalently, degrees of L -rational isogenies) of elliptic curves or Drinfeld modules.

Proposition 4.1 *Let M be a (G_K, A) -module of rank $r \geq 2$. Let L/K be a finite extension and $H \subset M$ a $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/L)$ -stable cyclic submodule of order $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$, i.e. $H \cong A/\mathfrak{a}$. Then*

$$|H| = |\mathfrak{a}| \leq C[L : K]^{1/(r-1)},$$

where the constant C depends on M, K, r and the index $I(\mathfrak{a})$, but not on L .

Proof. One shows, as in Proposition 2.6, that the stabilizer of H in $\text{Aut}(M[\mathfrak{a}])$ has order

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Stab}_{\text{Aut}(M[\mathfrak{a}])}(H)| &= |(A/\mathfrak{a})^\times| \cdot |\mathfrak{a}|^{r-1} \cdot |\text{GL}_{r-1}(A/\mathfrak{a})| \\ &= |\mathfrak{a}|^{r^2-r+1} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{a}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{p}|}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{p}|^2}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{p}|^{r-1}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} [K(H) : K] &\geq \frac{1}{I(\mathfrak{a})} \frac{|\text{GL}_r(A/\mathfrak{a})|}{|\text{Stab}_{\text{Aut}(M[\mathfrak{a}])}(H)|} \\ &\geq \frac{\zeta_A(r)}{I(\mathfrak{a})} |\mathfrak{a}|^{r-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows. $\square$

Corollary 4.2 *Suppose M is an elliptic curve without complex multiplication defined over a finitely generated field K of characteristic zero, or that M is a Drinfeld A -module of rank $r \geq 2$ in generic characteristic with $\text{End}_{\bar{K}}(M) = A$, defined over the finitely generated field K . Then there exists a constant $C > 0$, depending on M, K and r such that, for any finite extension L/K , the degree of any L -rational cyclic isogeny $M \rightarrow M'$ is bounded by $C[L : K]^{1/(r-1)}$, (where $r = 2$ if M is an elliptic curve). $\square$*

4.2 Uniform bounds

One may ask if the constants in Theorem 1.1 may be chosen independently of M (this would follow from a uniform bound on $I(\mathfrak{a})$).

When M is a Drinfeld module of rank 1 this was shown by Poonen [14, Theorem 8]. For Drinfeld modules of higher rank the existence of an upper bound on $|M_{\text{tor}}(L)|$ depending only on r , A and $[L : K]$ is conjectured by Poonen [*loc. cit.*], though there are various partial results, typically with the upper bound depending on primes of bad reduction, see for example [2, 14, 17].

When E is an elliptic curve over a number field K , uniform upper bounds on $|E_{\text{tor}}(L)|$ do exist, as shown by Mazur, Kamienny and Merel [7, 11, 12], but these bounds are not yet known to be polynomial in the degree $[L : K]$ in general. When E has everywhere good reduction, then we have the explicit bound $|E_{\text{tor}}(L)| \leq 1977408[L : \mathbb{Q}] \log[L : \mathbb{Q}]$, due to Hindry and Silverman, [6].

If E is an elliptic curve with complex multiplication defined over a number field, one may translate Poonen's proof of [14, Theorem 8] from rank 1 Drinfeld modules to the rank 1 $(G_K, \text{End}(E))$ -module E , and one obtains

$$|E_{\text{tor}}(L)| \leq C[L : \mathbb{Q}] \log \log[L : \mathbb{Q}], \quad (3)$$

where the constant C depends only on the endomorphism ring $\text{End}(E)$. On the other hand, it follows from [15, 19] that the exponent of the group $E_{\text{tor}}(L)$ is bounded by $C[L : \mathbb{Q}] \log \log[L : \mathbb{Q}]$ for an absolute constant C .

4.3 Abelian varieties

Suppose M is an abelian variety of dimension g defined over a number field K . Masser has shown that $|M_{\text{tor}}(L)| \leq C([L : K] \log[L : K])^g$, see [9, 10]. The exponent g is not optimal in general.

The key to our approach is the independence of fields generated by linearly independent torsion points (Proposition 2.7), which holds because

$$r \cdot \text{codim } \text{Fix}_G(x) = \dim G, \quad (4)$$

when $G = \text{GL}_r$.

For abelian varieties, the image of Galois is contained in the Mumford-Tate group, which is an algebraic subgroup of GSp_{2g} . This suggests developing a theory of “symplectic” Galois modules. However, as $\dim \text{GSp}_{2g} = 2g^2 + g + 1$ does not factorize, an identity of the form (4) is not possible. This means that one must explicitly estimate the order of $\text{Fix}_{G(A/\mathfrak{a})}(H)$ for submodules $H \subset M_{\text{tor}}$, which requires more effort. This is done by Hindry and Ratazzi [4, 5], allowing them to obtain the optimal exponent γ for which $|M_{\text{tor}}(L)| \leq C_\varepsilon [L : K]^{\gamma + \varepsilon}$ holds in various cases (here the constant C_ε depends on $\varepsilon > 0$).

Acknowledgements. The seed for this article was sown in discussions with Marc Hindry and Amílcar Pacheco at the XX Escola de Álgebra in Rio de Janeiro.

References

- [1] P. L. Clark and X. Xarles, Local bounds for torsion points on abelian varieties. *Canad. J. Math.* **60** (2008), no. 3, 532–555.
- [2] D. Ghioca, The Lehmer inequality and the Mordell-Weil theorem for Drinfeld modules. *J. Number Theory* **122** (2007), no. 1, 37–68.
- [3] D. Goss, *Basic Structures in Function Field Arithmetic*, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [4] M. Hindry and N. Ratazzi, Torsion dans un produit de courbes elliptiques. [arXiv:0804.3031v1 \[math.NT\]](#), (2008).
- [5] M. Hindry and N. Ratazzi, Points de torsion sur les variétés abéliennes de type GSp_{2g} . Manuscript, 2008.
- [6] M. Hindry and J. H. Silverman, Sur le nombre de points de torsion rationnels sur une courbe elliptique, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I*, **329** (1999) 97–100.
- [7] S. Kamienny, Torsion points on elliptic curves and q -coefficients of modular forms, *Invent. Math.* **109** (1992) 221–229.
- [8] S. Lang, *Elliptic functions, 2nd Edition*, GTM **112**, Springer Verlag, 1987.
- [9] D. Masser, Counting points of small height on elliptic curves, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **117** (1989), 247–265.
- [10] D. Masser, Letter to Daniel Bertrand of 10 November 1986.
- [11] B. Mazur, Modular curves and the Eisenstein ideal, *Pub. Math. IHES* **47** (1978), 33–186.
- [12] L. Merel, Bornes pour la torsion des courbes elliptiques sur les corps de nombres. *Invent. Math.* **124** (1996), no. 1-3, 437–449.
- [13] R. Pink and E. Rüttsche, Adelic openness for Drinfeld modules in generic characteristic. Preprint, 2008.
- [14] B. Poonen, Torsion in rank 1 Drinfeld modules and the uniform boundedness conjecture. *Math. Ann.* **308**, 571–586 (1997).
- [15] D. Prasad and C. S. Yogananda, Bounding the torsion in CM elliptic curves. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can.* **23** (2001), no. 1, 1–5.
- [16] M. Rosen, A generalization of Mertens’ Theorem. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **14** (1999), no. 1, 1–19.
- [17] A. Schweizer, Torsion of Drinfeld modules and gonality. *Forum Math.* **16** (2004), 925–941.
- [18] J.-P. Serre, Propriétés galoisiennes des points d’ordre fini des courbes elliptiques, *Invent. Math.* **15** (1972), 259–331.
- [19] A. Silverberg, Torsion points on abelian varieties of CM-type. *Compositio Math.* **68** (1988), no. 3, 241–249.
- [20] J. H. Silverman, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, GTM **106**, Springer-Verlag, 1986.